Multifactorial pathways facilitate resilience among kindergarteners at risk for dyslexia: A longitudinal behavioral and neuroimaging study

Jennifer Zuk¹,²,³ | Jade Dunstan¹ | Elizabeth Norton⁴ | Xi Yu¹,²,⁵ | Ola Ozernov-Palchik⁶ | Yingying Wang⁷ | Tiffany P. Hogan³ | John D. E. Gabrieli⁶ | Nadine Gaab¹,²,⁸

¹Laboratories of Cognitive Neuroscience, Division of Developmental Medicine, Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
²Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
³Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, MGH Institute of Health Professions, Boston, MA, USA
⁴Department of Communication Sciences and Disorders, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, USA
⁵State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
⁶McGovern Institute for Brain Research and Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA
⁷Department of Special Education and Communication Disorders, University of Nebraska – Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, USA
⁸Harvard Graduate School of Education, Cambridge, MA, USA

Correspondence
Dr. Nadine Gaab, Laboratories of Cognitive Neuroscience, Division of Developmental Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital/Harvard Medical School, 1 Autumn Street #643, Boston, MA 02115, USA.
Email: Nadine.gaab@childrens.harvard.edu

Funding information
Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development at the National Institutes of Health, Grant/Award Number: R01 HD067312; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Grant/Award Number: R01 HD067312; National Institutes of Health National Research Service Award. Grant/Award Number: F31 DC015919-01; American Speech-Language-Hearing Foundation; Sackler Scholar Programme in Psychobiology

Abstract
Recent efforts have focused on screening methods to identify children at risk for dyslexia as early as preschool/kindergarten. Unfortunately, while low sensitivity leads to under-identification of at-risk children, low specificity can lead to over-identification, resulting in inaccurate allocation of limited educational resources. The present study focused on children identified as at-risk in kindergarten who do not subsequently develop poor reading skills to specify factors associated with better reading outcomes among at-risk children. Early screening was conducted in kindergarten and a subset of children was tracked longitudinally until second grade. Potential protective factors were evaluated at cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels. Relative to at-risk kindergarteners with subsequent poor reading, those with typical reading outcomes were characterized by significantly higher socioeconomic status (SES), speech production accuracy, and structural organization of the posterior right-hemispheric superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF). A positive association between structural organization of the right SLF and subsequent decoding skills was found to be specific to at-risk children and not observed among typical controls. Among at-risk children, several kindergarten-age factors were found to significantly contribute to the prediction of subsequent decoding skills: white matter organization in the posterior right SLF, age, gender, SES, and phonological awareness. These findings suggest that putative compensatory mechanisms are already present by the start of kindergarten. The right SLF, in conjunction with the cognitive-linguistic and socioeconomic factors identified, may play an important role in facilitating reading development among...
1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | The importance of early identification of children at risk for dyslexia

Significant disparities in early reading achievement prevail in the United States, as nearly two-thirds of fourth-grade students demonstrate reading skills below grade level (Glymph & Burg, 2013). Children who significantly struggle with decoding, speed, and accuracy of single-word reading may be characterized by developmental dyslexia (henceforth referred to as dyslexia), a specific learning disorder that cannot be explained by sensory, motor, or cognitive deficits, lack of motivation, or deficient educational opportunities (Lyon, Shaywitz, & Shaywitz, 2003; Peterson & Pennington, 2012). Presently, dyslexia is often only diagnosed after a child demonstrates significant difficulty learning to read, and as such, diagnosis typically occurs in late elementary school (Ozernov-Palchik & Gaab, 2016). However, persistent discouraging experiences with reading can have negative psychosocial consequences such as feelings of frustration and helplessness, as well as higher rates of depression and anxiety (Riddick, Sterling, Farmer, & Morgan, 1999), which can impact academic achievement long-term and hinder vocational potential (Quinn, Rutherford, & Leone, 2001).

Early screening at the start of formal reading instruction (i.e., kindergarten classrooms in the United States) or even earlier offers great potential to identify at-risk children and facilitate developing development through early intervention (Catts, Fey, Zhang, & Tomblin, 2001). One of the most reliable markers of dyslexia prior to reading onset is poor phonological awareness, the ability to manipulate speech sounds within oral language (Melby-Lervåg, Lyster, & Hulme, 2012). In addition, key predictors of subsequent literacy outcomes in early childhood include letter–sound knowledge and rapid automatized naming skills (Caravolas et al., 2012; Cardoso-Martins & Pennington, 2004; Landerl et al., 2013; Pennington & Lefly, 2001; Scarborough, 1989, 1998; Schatschneider, Fletcher, Francis, Carlson, & Foorman, 2004; Snowling & Melby-Lervåg, 2016). Moreover, additional factors may contribute to difficulty learning to read, such as speech and receptive and expressive language delays (Gerrits & de Bree, 2009; Metsala & Walley, 1998; Pennington & Lefly, 2001; Scarborough, 1990; Scarborough & Dobrich, 1990; Snowling & Melby-Lervåg, 2016). Approximately 50%–90% of children who are identified early as at-risk and provided with targeted instruction have been shown to achieve grade-level reading skills thereafter (Catts, Nielsen, Bridges, Bontempo, & Liu, 2015; Elbro & Peterson, 2004; Hatcher, Hulme, & Ellis, 1994; Schneider, Roth, & Ennemoser, 2000). Although these promising benefits have been observed, current approaches to early identification often tend to identify too many children as being ‘at-risk’, meaning that many ‘at-risk’ children, as identified by early screening, do not end up developing dyslexia (Catts, Compton, Tomblin, & Bridges, 2012; Jenkins & O’Connor, 2002; Torgesen, 2002), which can lead to inaccurate allocation of educational resources. This raises an important question of who is truly at risk. Among children who are initially identified as at-risk based on early screening, what factors distinguish those who go on to develop typical versus poor word reading skills? The present study focused on characterizing at-risk children who subsequently become typical readers to examine the factors on cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels that are associated with better word reading outcomes among children who have been classified as being at-risk based on screening protocols.

1.2 | The dynamic, multi-factorial trajectory of dyslexia

Converging evidence supports the notion of a liability distribution for dyslexia that is determined by an interaction between multiple factors at cognitive, neural, genetic, and environmental levels (van Bergen, van der Leij, & de Jong, 2014; Pennington, 2006). It has been suggested that a probabilistic interaction of several risk factors increases the liability to develop dyslexia; meanwhile, proposed...
'protective' factors may decrease the liability (van Bergen et al., 2014; Pennington, 2006). Consequently, not all children identified as 'at-risk' early on subsequently develop dyslexia due to the dynamic interaction of these factors, which ultimately gives rise to variable outcomes ranging from typical to poor reading skills (Snowling & Melby-Lervag, 2016). Although this holds great promise for facilitating reading development among at-risk children, it remains unclear what specific factors may be associated with better reading outcomes. Delineation of these factors offers the potential to guide educational and clinical approaches to effectively identify at-risk children and subsequently support their reading development.

1.3 | Factors that may promote successful reading acquisition among at-risk children

1.3.1 | Cognitive-linguistic factors

In addition to the factors shown to be most predictive of general reading outcome, several cognitive-linguistic factors have been proposed to promote reading development among children at risk for dyslexia (Haft & Hoeft, 2016; Snowling & Melby-Lervag, 2016). Children with familial risk for dyslexia who go on to develop typical reading skills relative to those who develop dyslexia have shown enhanced cognitive and oral language abilities, particularly in terms of vocabulary knowledge (Carroll, Mundy, & Cunningham, 2014; Gallagher, Frith, & Snowling, 2000; Hulme, Nash, Gooch, Lervag, & Snowling, 2015; Muter & Snowling, 2009; Snowling, Gallagher, & Frith, 2003; Torppa, Lyttinen, Erskine, Eklund, & Lyttinen, 2010), and morphological and syntactic skills (Carroll et al., 2014; Snowling et al., 2003; Torppa et al., 2010). Relative strengths in these domains of language may further support phonological development, and/or be utilized as a strategy to achieve word recognition during reading acquisition (Nation & Snowling, 1998; Snowling & Melby-Lervag, 2016).

One foundational skill sub-serving higher order language and reading abilities that remains understudied to date in this context is to what extent variation in speech–sound production in early childhood accuracy may impact subsequent reading acquisition. Speech–sound production deficits have been implicated among children with dyslexia (Cabbage, Farquharson, Iuzzini-Seigel, Zuk, & Hogan, 2018), which has motivated a question of the extent to which higher accuracy in speech–sound production may be advantageous for facilitating reading development among at-risk children. To date, investigations into articulation accuracy, as indicated by standardized diagnostic assessments, have only shown trends toward significant differences between at-risk children who subsequently develop dyslexia compared to those who do not (Carroll et al., 2014; Gallagher et al., 2000; Hulme et al., 2015; Snowling et al., 2003). Although significant differences have yet to be observed, it has been hypothesized that the currently available standard articulation tests may not be sensitive enough to discern group differences in speech production accuracy between those who go on to have dyslexia and those who do not (Gallagher et al., 2000; Snowling et al., 2003). Thus, it remains unclear whether a more detailed characterization of speech–sound production accuracy among at-risk children may uncover subtle variations that contribute to subsequent reading outcomes.

1.3.2 | Environmental factors

Certain environmental and family-related factors have also been strongly linked with reading development, particularly those related to socioeconomic status (SES; Molfese et al., 2002; Noble, Wolmetz, Ochs, Farah, & McCandliss, 2006; Sénéchal & LeFevre, 2002). Research has repeatedly shown the critical impact of SES on language and reading development (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002; Noble et al., 2006; Ozernov-Palchik et al., 2019). From early childhood, significant disparities in vocabulary knowledge have been observed when comparing children from high versus low socioeconomic backgrounds (Fernald, Marchman, & Weisleder, 2013; Hart & Risley, 1995). This achievement gap has been documented to widen along the developmental trajectory, presumably due to the complex influence of parent education level, occupation, and income on child development (Becker, 2011; Maguire et al., 2018; Rowe & Goldin-Meadow, 2009).

Ultimately, reading acquisition is one of many academic skills impacted by socioeconomic factors in the United States, and higher SES is positively linked with language (especially vocabulary knowledge) and reading development (Aikens & Barbarin, 2008; Hackman & Farah, 2009; Huttenlocher, Waterfall, Vasilyeva, Vevea, & Hedges, 2010). However, many of the longitudinal studies tracking reading development among at-risk children have either recruited a sample that lacks a broad socioeconomic distribution, or have established comparison groups that do not differ in SES (Snowling & Melby-Lervag, 2016). Therefore, investigation with at-risk children from a broad socioeconomic sample is needed to further delineate the extent to which disparities in SES play a significant role among at-risk children in shaping their subsequent reading outcomes.

1.3.3 | Putative right-hemispheric neural pathways that facilitate reading development in at-risk children and those with dyslexia

In addition to cognitive-linguistic and environmental factors, right-hemispheric neural pathways have also been proposed to underlie better reading outcomes among at-risk children (Yu, Zuk, & Gaab, 2018). White matter has been intricately linked with reading development, as measured by diffusion-weighted imaging (Beaulieu et al., 2005; Travis, Adams, Kovacky, Ben-Shachar, & Feldman, 2017; Vandermosten, Boets, Wouters, & Ghesquiere, 2012). Several left-hemispheric pathways have been shown to significantly differ among children and adults with dyslexia compared to their peers with typical reading skills in terms of fractional anisotropy (FA, the degree of directionality of water diffusion within white...
matter tracts; Christodoulou et al., 2017; Vandermosten et al., 2012; Vandermosten, Poelmans, Sunaert, Ghesquiere, & Wouters, 2013).

Although predominantly left-hemispheric pathways have been identified in comparisons of typical readers to those with dyslexia, focusing solely on the reading outcomes of children with dyslexia revealed that FA in the right-hemispheric superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) significantly predicted reading improvements after approximately two years of reading instruction (Hoeft et al., 2011). This relationship was not present among typical readers, thereby specific to children with dyslexia. Thus, investigation with a focus on the neural substrates linked with reading improvements among children with dyslexia revealed that right-hemispheric pathways seem to play an important role in facilitating better reading outcomes. Yet, it remains unclear whether pathways develop over the course of learning to read to compensate for difficulties, or whether they may be evident from the start of reading onset and predict reading outcomes among at-risk children.

Investigating white matter structural organization among at-risk children from the start of formal reading instruction remains understudied, yet offers great potential to better understand the pathways that underlie typical reading development. Group comparison between children with familial risk for dyslexia and those without has revealed significant differences in left-hemispheric white matter pathways even before children start learning to read (Langer et al., 2015; Vanderauwera, Wouters, Vandermosten, & Ghesquiere, 2017; Vandermosten et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2016). However, scarcely any studies have focused primarily on at-risk children to examine how white matter structural organization at the start of formal reading instruction may be associated with subsequent reading development, particularly for at-risk children who do not go on to develop dyslexia.

Of the limited evidence to date, preschool age children with familial risk for dyslexia who subsequently became typical readers were found to show a significantly faster rate of FA development in the right-hemispheric SLF compared to those who subsequently developed poor reading skills (Wang et al., 2016). This initial evidence suggests that among children with familial risk for dyslexia, right-hemispheric white matter pathways in particular are positively linked with better reading outcomes. Yet, longitudinal evidence from another sample in a more transparent language (monolingual Dutch) did not observe differences in right-hemispheric white matter organization between at-risk children with versus without subsequent dyslexia (Vanderauwera et al., 2017). In addition, it remains unclear to what extent this may be evident among at-risk children identified based on behavioral predictors of dyslexia (i.e., early screening), and whether this pathway may show altered white matter organization from the start of reading instruction. Moreover, no study to date has investigated organization of this pathway concurrently with factors on cognitive-linguistic and environmental levels.

1.4 | Purpose of the present study

Several factors have been suggested to facilitate successful reading development among children at risk for dyslexia on cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels; however, there has yet to be a study to examine these factors concurrently or within the context of classifying risk status based on early screening. Therefore, the present study conducted screening in kindergarten classrooms in New England in a variety of schools with broad socioeconomic representation, and then enrolled a carefully selected subset of these children in a longitudinal investigation until the end of second grade. At-risk children were identified in kindergarten based on performance on key behavioral predictors of dyslexia (i.e., phonological awareness, rapid automatized naming, letter-sound knowledge; Caravolas et al., 2012; Cardoso-Martins & Pennington, 2004; Landerl et al., 2013; Pennington & Lefly, 2001; Scarborough, 1989, 1998; Schatschneider et al., 2004; Snowling & Melby-Lervag, 2016). Neuroimaging was acquired at the kindergarten age, and then children were longitudinally tracked and assessed with a comprehensive battery of standardized behavioral assessments. This study design allowed for investigation into cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural factors associated with subsequent word reading abilities among at-risk children identified at the start of formal reading instruction.

To address important missing links in the literature, the multidimensional approach employed in the present study investigated a few sequential research questions. The first research question of interest was among at-risk children identified based on early screening in kindergarten, what factors differ between at-risk children who subsequently develop typical versus poor word reading skills? It is hypothesized that putative factors from previous research, particularly in cognitive-linguistic domains (Snowling & Melby-Lervag, 2016), will be reflected in the present analysis focused on a community sample with determination of risk status conducted in a manner that may align with educational practices. Among these factors, speech production accuracy is included to build on previous work that hypothesized protective effects in this domain, for standard articulation measures were not found to be sensitive enough to discern group differences, bringing forth the need for investigation utilizing a more refined approach to characterizing speech–sound accuracy (Gallagher et al., 2000; Snowling et al., 2003). Therefore, we conducted a detailed analysis of speech–sound production accuracy utilizing the Percent Consonants Correct-Revised (PCC-R) analysis of audio-recorded speech samples. In addition, rather than matching groups based on SES, we hypothesized that SES would significantly differ between at-risk children who subsequently develop typical versus poor word reading skills.

The second component of the present study focused on the previously hypothesized role of right-hemispheric SLF in facilitating better reading outcomes among at-risk children. To build upon previous evidence, the present study asked the following questions: what is the relationship between white matter organization in the right SLF at the kindergarten age and subsequent word reading abilities in second grade? Are these relationships specific to at-risk children, or are they evident among typical controls as well? Based on previous studies in older children and children with a familial risk before reading onset, positive significant relationships are hypothesized
only among at-risk children specifically (Hoeft et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016). Lastly, the final component of this study examined behavioral and neural factors concurrently to evaluate which variables at the kindergarten age best predict subsequent word reading abilities among at-risk children. Taken together, this work has the potential to identify protective factors that may underlie the trajectory of reading acquisition among at-risk children, and reveal which variables on cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels best predict subsequent word reading outcomes among at-risk children. In turn, this study will inform educational and clinical approaches to early identification, as well as supporting reading development in at-risk children from the start of formal reading instruction.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants and design

Seventy-four children (37 female/37 male, age range: 4.9–6.6 [years: months], mean age: 5.6, STD: 4.12 months) were included in the present study as part of a larger longitudinal investigation into children at behavioral risk for dyslexia: Researching Early Attributes of Dyslexia (i.e., the READ study). Initially, screening for early risk for dyslexia was conducted in pre-kindergarten and kindergarten classrooms from 20 schools across New England, which was diverse in type: public district (6), public charter (4), private (2), and Catholic (8) schools. Variation in SES among these schools, as indicated by percentage of students receiving free or reduced lunch, was as follows: 8 schools classified as high SES (0%–5% of students), 6 schools as middle SES (12%–30% of students), and 6 schools as low SES (32%–79% of students), as previously described (for further demographic details of this community-based screening, see Supplementary Information and refer to Ozernov-Palchik et al., 2016). Screening took place in the spring of pre-kindergarten or early fall of the kindergarten year, then a subset of these children was longitudinally enrolled in further behavioral assessment and neuroimaging in kindergarten followed by behavioral re-evaluation until the end of second grade (n = 186). Children identified as at-risk were oversampled in the selection of longitudinal enrollment to ensure variance in subsequent reading outcomes. Children included in the present study were right-handed, native American English speakers with no history of neurological or psychiatric disorders, head or brain injury, or poor vision/hearing per parent report. In addition, only children who demonstrated non-verbal cognitive abilities with a standard score of at least 80 were included (as indicated by the Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test: 2nd Edition, KBIT-2; Kaufman & Kaufman, 2004). Moreover, this study focused solely on children in the longitudinal sample for whom quality diffusion imaging data were acquired.

Parents completed questionnaires detailing the child’s handedness and family history of language and/or learning disabilities. Informed consent was obtained by all participants, such that verbal assent was obtained from each child and written consent from each legal guardian, respectively. All experimental protocols and procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Boston Children’s Hospital and Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

2.2 | At-risk classification based on early screening

Initial screening took place before or within the first few weeks of formal reading instruction, utilizing the primary behavioral predictors of dyslexia to classify risk status: phonological awareness, rapid automatized naming, and letter identification. Children who demonstrated performance below the 25th percentile on any of these three classification measures within the large sample initially screened (n = 1,433) were categorized as ‘at-risk’. Children who demonstrated performance above the 25th percentile on these measures were not identified as at-risk.

2.3 | Classification measures

Phonological awareness was measured by the composite of the Elision, Blending Words, and Nonword Repetition subtests from The Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing (CTOPP; Wagner, Torgesen, & Rashotte, 1999). Rapid automatized naming was measured by the objects and colors subtests from the Rapid Automated Naming/Rapid Alternating Stimulus (RAN/RAS) tests (Wolf & Denckla, 2005). For children who demonstrated knowledge of letters, the Letters subtest was also acquired for RAN/RAS tests. The mean of all subtests administered comprised the Rapid Automated Naming composite. Letter knowledge was characterized by scores on two subtests: (a) the Letter Identification subtest from the Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests-Revised, Normative Update (WRMT-R NU; Woodcock, 1998); (b) the Letter Sound Knowledge subtest from the York Assessment of Reading for Comprehension (YARC; Stoelting, Hulme, Clarke, Barmby, & Snowling, 2010). The mean of standard scores for these two subtests derived the letter knowledge composite score.

Additional constructs that may impact a child’s risk status were evaluated at initial screening. Syntactic language abilities were measured utilizing the Sentence Repetition subtest of the Grammar and Phonology Screening (GAPS; van der Lely, Gardner, Froud, & McClelland, 2007). Performance below the 10th percentile on this measure indicated significant syntactic language difficulties. Word reading ability was also characterized to account for potential word reading competency at the time of screening; measured with the Word Identification subtest from the WRMT-R NU.

2.4 | Behavioral measures of interest

2.4.1 | Socioeconomic status

Parent responses from a questionnaire were utilized as a proxy for SES (as indicated by the Barratt Simplified Measure of Social Status;
2.4.2 | Additional assessment of speech and language abilities in kindergarten

A subset of the comprehensive battery of standardized assessments administered to the longitudinal sample was selected given the focus of the present study, which included measures of speech and language hypothesized to serve protective/compensatory roles based on the previous literature. Measures included were as follows:

Components of language
Standardized measures were utilized to evaluate two aspects of language. Vocabulary knowledge was characterized by The Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test (PPVT-4; Dunn & Dunn, 2007). Sentence comprehension was characterized by the sentence structure subtest from the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals (CELF-IV; Semel, Wiig, & Secord, 2003).

Speech production accuracy
Speech production accuracy was retrospectively determined through audio-recorded connected speech samples from the battery of standardized assessments. Specifically, audio recordings of the GAPS sentence repetition and CTOPP Elision subtests were reviewed and analyzed for phonetic accuracy through PCC-R analysis by researchers with training in speech-language pathology and linguistics who were blind to classification status (Shriberg, Austin, Lewis, McSweeny, & Wilson, 1997). Per PCC-R procedures, distortions were not counted as errors. In addition, productions characteristic of the New England regional dialect were not counted as errors (Labov, Ash, & Boberg, 2005). Inter-rater reliability was conducted between two raters with 15% of the sample to verify consistent identification across raters, and an intraclass correlation coefficient >0.9 was achieved. Speech production accuracy was then quantified by the percentage of the number of correct consonants divided by the number of correct and incorrect consonants produced.

2.5 | Longitudinal follow-up at the end of second grade

Follow-up measures of interest at the end of second grade focused on language and word reading abilities. Regarding language, vocabulary knowledge (PPVT-4) and sentence comprehension (CELF-IV) were re-assessed. Word reading abilities were characterized by subtests evaluating word identification and non-word decoding measures in timed and untimed conditions. For timed measures, The sight word efficiency and phonemic decoding efficiency subtests of the Test of Word Reading Efficiency (TOWRE-2; Torgesen, Wagner, & Rashotte, 1999) were included to measure the ability to read single words rapidly and accurately. Untimed measures included the Word Identification and Word Attack subtests of the Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, Third Edition (WRMT-III; Woodcock, 2011).

2.6 | Classification of subsequent word reading abilities

To align with the group comparison approach employed in related previous literature, children were classified based on risk status in kindergarten determined by initial screening and subsequent reading abilities at the end of second grade. Classification was established for the following three groups: at-risk kindergarteners who subsequently developed poor versus typical word reading abilities, and typical controls (no risk, typical readers). Therefore, children were classified by poor reading skills if they demonstrated performance one standard deviation below the mean or lower (standard score ≤85) on at least one subtest of the timed and untimed standardized word reading measures administered at the end of second grade (TOWRE-2 and WRMT-III). Children who had standard scores on all word-reading measures above one standard deviation below the mean were classified as typical readers.

The present study led to classification of the following three groups: at-risk kindergarteners who subsequently developed poor reading skills (n = 17), at-risk kindergarteners who subsequently developed typical reading skills (n = 18), and typical controls (n = 39). As for children who were not classified as at-risk in kindergarten but then did go on to develop poor reading skills, an insufficient number were identified to warrant inclusion in the present study (n = 6). Groups were age-matched to rule out the possibility of an age effect in the analyses. Given the community screening approach to study enrollment, family history status was documented thereafter within the longitudinally enrolled sample to verify that no typical controls had a family history of dyslexia (see Supplementary Information for more details). Among children classified as at-risk based on behavioral screening, those with a family history of dyslexia were as follows: n = 2 at-risk kindergarteners who subsequently did not develop dyslexia, and n = 6 at-risk kindergarteners who subsequently developed dyslexia.

In an effort to ensure that group classification was not driven by environmental factors pertaining to educational access to resources, group characteristics of socioeconomic ranking of school and amount of tutoring/intervention were assessed. Based on the socioeconomic ranking of each school (outlined above), at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills included six from high SES schools, four from middle SES schools, and eight from low SES schools. At-risk children with poor subsequent reading skills included seven from high SES schools, four from middle SES schools, and six from low SES schools. With respect to intervention and
tutoring experience: among at-risk children with subsequent typical reading skills, 2 out of 18 children had received reading intervention at the follow-up time point, and 1 child reportedly received extra assistance from the school teacher in a small-group setting. Among at-risk children with poor subsequent reading skills, 5 out of 17 had received reading intervention.

### 2.7 | Neuroimaging acquisition

Children were first introduced to the MR scanner setting with a child-friendly mock scanner training, which allowed them to acclimate to the MR environment. Structural neuroimaging was acquired as one aspect of a 40-minute imaging protocol, which included breaks as individually requested, on a 3-T Siemens Trio Tim MRI scanner with a standard Siemens 32-channel phased array head coil. A structural T1-weighted whole-brain anatomical volume was acquired (multiecho MPRAGE; acquisition parameters: TR = 2.350 ms, TE = 1.64 ms, Ti = 1.400 ms, flip angle = 7°, FOV = 192 × 192, 176 slices, voxel resolution = 1.0 mm³, acceleration = 4). An online prospective motion correction algorithm was employed to mitigate motion artifacts, in which 10 selective re-acquisition time points were acquired to replace time points impacted by head motion (Tisdall et al., 2012). To further monitor potential motion during acquisition, a researcher stood near each child in the MRI room to present a physical reminder to stay still throughout the session when necessary. Diffusion-weighted images were acquired with 10 non-diffusion-weighted volumes (b = 0) and 30 diffusion-weighted volumes (acquisition parameters: b = 700 s/mm², 128 × 128 mm base resolution, isotropic voxel resolution = 2.00 mm³).

### 2.8 | Diffusion-weighted image processing and automated fiber quantification

Diffusion-weighted images were processed with the approach taken in a previous study from the lab with a cohort of children of the same age (Wang et al., 2016). A brain mask was generated from the structural T1-weighted image utilizing the Brain extraction tool (BET; Smith, 2002) to separate brain tissue from non-brain tissue. Raw DWI data were converted from DICOM to NRRD format through the DICOM-to-NRRD Converter software of Slicer4 (www.slicer.org). DTIprep software (Liu et al., 2010) and visual inspection were used to evaluate scan quality. Motion artifacts were determined by a translation threshold of 2.0 mm and a rotation threshold to 0.5° through rigid registration-based volume-by-volume measures. Volumes containing motion artifacts were removed prior to diffusion tensor estimation. Participants with more than 10 gradients removed during this step had to be excluded from subsequent analyses (n = 5). After assessing scan quality, DWI data were processed with the VISTALab mrDiffusion toolbox and diffusion MRI software suite (www.vistalab.com), including eddy current correction and tensor-fitting estimations with a linear least squares (LS) fit for fitting the diffusion tensors. White matter tracts were identified using a deterministic streamline tracking algorithm with the Automated Fiber Quantification (AFQ) software package (github.com/jyeatman/AFQ; Yeatman, Dougherty, Myall, Wandell, & Feldman, 2012). For a full description of the procedures and parameters used, see Wang et al. (2016).

The present study characterized two bilateral white matter pathways previously investigated with a focus on compensatory mechanisms predicting long-term reading outcomes among children with dyslexia: the arcuate fasciculus and SLF (Hoeft et al., 2011). These white matter pathways were defined utilizing the AFQ procedure, which employs the waypoint ROI procedure defined by Wakana et al. (2007). FA values for each node along the trajectory of the tract were then averaged into three segments to maximize statistical power by dividing the 50 nodes into anterior (nodes 1–16), mid (nodes 17–34), and posterior (nodes 35–50) segments.

### 2.9 | Statistical analyses

#### 2.9.1 | Group comparisons

To address the main research question, at-risk children who subsequently developed typical reading skills were directly compared to at-risk children with subsequent poor reading skills as well as typical controls on factors evaluated at cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels. Direct comparisons between these three groups were evaluated accordingly through a one-way MANOVA with measures of interest at the kindergarten age, and then the end of second grade as well. Significant variables identified in the MANOVAs were then further examined through post-hoc pairwise comparisons, controlling for the false discovery rate (FDR) to correct for multiple comparisons (Benjamini, Drai, Elmer, Kafkafi, & Golani, 2001).

#### 2.9.2 | Correlations between the right SLF in kindergarten and subsequent word reading abilities

To build upon relevant previous findings, the right-hemispheric SLF was hypothesized to be of interest for further analysis given implications of this tract as a specific protective neural mechanism for children at risk for dyslexia (Wang et al., 2016). To further examine relationships between the right SLF in kindergarten and subsequent word reading abilities among at-risk children, partial correlations were employed. Partial correlations were employed to control for the potential effect of the following demographic variables: age, nonverbal cognitive abilities, and SES (index of total parent education and occupation). Partial correlations were conducted between the average FA for each segment of the SLF (anterior, mid, posterior) and subsequent word reading measures from the end of second grade (subtests from TOWRE and WRMT-III). Based on the hypothesis that these relationships would be specific to at-risk children, partial correlations were conducted among at-risk children, and then
among typical controls separately. FDR correction for multiple comparisons was employed.

### 2.9.3 | Multiple regression analyses

To further examine which behavioral and/or neural variables at the kindergarten age best predict word-reading outcomes among at-risk children, multiple regression analyses were conducted among at-risk children only. The dependent variable, word reading performance at the end of second grade, was specified based on the results of the partial correlation analyses conducted among at-risk children only. Predictor variables included demographic variables (age, gender), key precursor literacy skills (phonological awareness, rapid automatized naming, letter–sound knowledge), and putative ‘protective’ factors identified to differ in the MANOVAs between at-risk children with typical versus poor subsequent reading skills. All statistical analyses were performed utilizing IBM SPSS Statistics software and assumptions of multiple regression analyses were verified, with no multicollinearity observed between predictors (Version 23; IBM Corporation, 2016).

### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Among at-risk children, how do children with typical versus poor subsequent reading skills differ, relative to typical controls?

##### 3.1.1 | Initial assessment at the start of formal reading instruction

A one-way MANOVA was employed to compare performance on all kindergarten-age factors between at-risk children with typical versus poor subsequent reading skills, and typical controls. Regarding classification variables utilized for early screening, as expected, the one-way MANOVA verified group differences that reflect our approach to group categorization. Specifically, FDR-corrected post-hoc tests confirmed that typical controls performed significantly better than both at-risk groups on measures of phonological awareness, rapid automatized naming, and letter–sound knowledge ($p < .05$; summary of MANOVA provided in Table 1), and at-risk groups did not significantly differ on any of these screening measures. Word identification abilities in kindergarten showed a similar pattern of group differences, such that typical controls demonstrated significantly better abilities than both at-risk groups ($p < .05$), and at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills did not significantly differ in word identification from those who went on to develop poor reading skills ($p > .1$). Notably, a similar gender distribution was observed among all three groups.

Investigation of potential protective or compensatory factors revealed group differences on cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels (for an overview see Table 1), as follows:

On the cognitive-linguistic level, significant effects in the MANOVA were observed for nonverbal cognitive abilities ($F(2,72) = 5.21; p < .01$) and speech production accuracy ($F(2,72) = 7.95; p = .001$). In terms of nonverbal cognitive abilities, FDR-corrected post-hoc tests revealed significantly better performance in typical controls relative to at-risk children with poor reading skills ($p < .005$) and no differences between at-risk groups. Yet, post-hoc comparisons for speech production accuracy revealed significant differences between the at-risk groups, such that at-risk children with typical reading skills did not differ from typical controls, and both groups showed significantly better accuracy relative to at-risk children with subsequent poor reading skills ($p < .05$). By contrast, no significant group differences were observed in terms of vocabulary knowledge or sentence comprehension at the kindergarten age.

On the environmental level, significant group differences were also observed in all aspects of SES: mother’s education level ($F(2,72) = 16.70; p < .001$), father’s education level ($F(2,72) = 7.67; p = .001$), and the index indicating total parent education and occupation prestige levels ($F(2,72) = 15.49; p < .001$). FDR-corrected post-hoc tests revealed that at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills did not differ from typical controls ($p > .5$), and both of these groups showed significantly higher socioeconomic levels in all aspects relative to at-risk children who went on to develop poor reading skills ($p < .005$).

On the neural level, FA in the posterior segment of the right SLF revealed significant group differences ($F(2,72) = 3.49; p < .05$; see Figure 1). Interestingly, at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills were characterized by significantly higher FA in this portion of the tract when compared to both typical controls and at-risk children who went on to develop poor reading skills ($p < .05$), whereas typical controls did not differ from at-risk children with poor reading skills ($p > .5$). No significant group effects were observed for any other white matter pathways investigated.

#### 3.1.2 | Longitudinal follow-up at the end of second grade

At the end of second grade, a MANOVA was employed with reading measures to verify group classification and evaluate respective language abilities upon longitudinal follow-up. As expected based on our approach to group classification of subsequent reading outcomes, at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills and typical controls performed significantly better than at-risk children with poor reading skills on all timed and untimed reading measures (FDR-corrected post-hoc tests, $p < .001$), and at-risk children with typical reading skills did not significantly differ from typical controls on any of these measures.

In terms of general language abilities at the end of second grade, significant group differences reflective of reading outcomes were observed. The MANOVA revealed significant differences in sentence comprehension ($F(2,72) = 7.55; p = .001$) and vocabulary knowledge ($F(2,72) = 9.88; p < .001$). Specifically, FDR-corrected post-hoc tests
revealed that typical controls and at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills did not differ from each other on either measure, but the significant differences between at-risk groups varied by measure. For sentence comprehension, at-risk children with typical versus poor reading skills did not significantly differ, though there was a trend toward better performance among at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills ($p < .1$). Accordingly, in terms of vocabulary knowledge, significantly higher performance was indicated among at-risk children with typical versus poor subsequent reading skills ($p < .01$). For a full summary of group comparisons at this time point, see Table 2.

### 3.2 Does FA within the right SLF in kindergarten significantly relate to subsequent word reading abilities at the end of second grade among at-risk children?

To examine relationships between the right SLF in kindergarten and subsequent word reading measures in second grade, partial correlations between structural organization of the right-hemispheric SLF (as indicated by FA) in kindergarten and subsequent timed and untimed word/non-word reading scores were employed.
among at-risk children only. Partial correlations were employed in order to examine these relationships independent of the effects of age, nonverbal cognitive abilities, and SES, though no significant relationships between SES and the SLF were observed. To further confirm whether relationships were specific to at-risk children only, these partial correlations were employed among typical controls as well.

Among typical controls, there were no significant relationships observed between the FA in the right SLF in kindergarten and subsequent word reading abilities. By contrast, positive correlations were observed among at-risk children between FA in the posterior segment of the right SLF and decoding skills (as indicated by the untimed word attack subtest from the WRMT-III; $r = .49, p = .005$, FDR-corrected). These findings are displayed in Figure 1.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General information</th>
<th>Typical controls</th>
<th>At-risk children with typical reading outcomes</th>
<th>At-risk children with poor reading outcomes</th>
<th>MANOVA $F$ (max df = 2,72)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>39 (21 f/18 m)</td>
<td>18 (7 f/11 m)</td>
<td>17 (9 f/8 m)</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in months)</td>
<td>98.90 ± 3.73</td>
<td>98.44 ± 5.46</td>
<td>99.76 ± 4.04</td>
<td>7.55*a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence comprehension</td>
<td>12.03 ± 1.66</td>
<td>11.25 ± 1.57</td>
<td>10.29 ± 1.45</td>
<td>9.88*b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
<td>123.76 ± 13.66</td>
<td>119.25 ± 13.97</td>
<td>105.82 ± 13.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed word reading</td>
<td>109.08 ± 8.44</td>
<td>105.61 ± 8.08</td>
<td>88.94 ± 12.67</td>
<td>26.69**b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed non-word reading</td>
<td>106.28 ± 10.11</td>
<td>103.39 ± 8.99</td>
<td>80.18 ± 6.59</td>
<td>51.34**b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untimed word reading</td>
<td>112.31 ± 8.47</td>
<td>112 ± 5.92</td>
<td>88.29 ± 9.23</td>
<td>53.59**b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untimed non-word reading</td>
<td>108.53 ± 10.79</td>
<td>108.35 ± 7.79</td>
<td>85.94 ± 7.97</td>
<td>36.75**b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Standardized scores provided; *$p < .05$, **$p < .01$, ***$p < .001$.

FDR-corrected post-hoc tests on a one-way ANOVA by group found that typical controls performed significantly better than only at-risk children with dyslexia; at-risk groups did not significantly differ.

FDR-corrected post-hoc tests on one-way ANOVA by group found that typical controls and at-risk children without dyslexia performed significantly better than at-risk children with dyslexia, typical controls did not significantly differ from at-risk children without dyslexia.

### 3.3 Which kindergarten-age factors best predict subsequent word reading abilities among at-risk children?

In a final step, multiple regression analysis was conducted to evaluate which behavioral and/or neural variables at the kindergarten age best predict decoding abilities among at-risk children only. Based on findings from the correlation analyses, the word attack subtest from the WRMT-III was selected as the dependent variable. Predictors of interest included factors identified to differ between at-risk children with versus without subsequent dyslexia in the MANOVAs (nonverbal cognitive abilities, SES, and speech production accuracy), as well as key predictors of subsequent literacy skills as previously reported in the literature (phonological awareness, rapid automatized naming, letter-sound knowledge). Control predictors, gender and age at first
time point, were also included in the model. For parsimony, a final model was performed without the inclusion of nonverbal cognitive abilities, as this predictor did not demonstrate a significant association with the outcome variable in the provisional model. The final multiple regression model explained 80.3% of the variance in decoding abilities at the end of second grade (see Figure 2). This model revealed that the following predictors significantly explained decoding abilities: FA in the posterior segment of the right SLF, age, gender, SES, and phonological awareness ($F = 10.73, p < .0001$; all individual associations outlined in Table 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

Among at-risk children identified by early behavioral screening in a community-based sample, this longitudinal investigation revealed several factors on cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels that were associated with subsequently better word reading outcomes at the end of second grade. As hypothesized, group comparison between at-risk children based on subsequent reading outcomes revealed that at-risk children who subsequently developed typical versus poor reading skills exhibited significantly higher SES, speech production accuracy, and structural organization in the right SLF in kindergarten. In addition, the two at-risk groups did not significantly differ on language measures at the start of reading instruction, but differences in vocabulary knowledge emerged by the end of second grade. Moreover, among all at-risk children, positive relationships were observed between the right SLF in kindergarten and subsequent non-word reading abilities. This relationship was observed independent of age, nonverbal cognitive abilities, and SES, and was not present among typical controls. Lastly, multiple regression analysis revealed that the right SLF, SES, phonological awareness, gender, and age in kindergarten significantly predicted subsequent decoding abilities among at-risk children. These findings suggest cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural factors already present at the start of formal reading instruction may play a role in promoting reading acquisition among at-risk children identified by early screening.

4.1 | Cognitive-linguistic factors associated with better reading outcomes among at-risk children

The cognitive-linguistic factors that differed between at-risk children with typical versus poor subsequent reading skills align closely with the prior literature. Speech production accuracy has previously been investigated as a factor that may promote reading acquisition, but the currently available standard articulation tests have only revealed trends toward significant differences between at-risk children with versus without subsequent dyslexia (Carroll et al., 2014; Gallagher et al., 2000; Snowling et al., 2003). In line with previous hypotheses that standard tests may not be sensitive enough to capture group differences in speech production accuracy (Gallagher et al., 2000; Snowling et al., 2003), the present findings identified significant differences through an alternate approach that involved

TABLE 3 Multiple regression: longitudinal prediction of decoding abilities (end of second grade)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE B</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Putative protective predictors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right SLF</td>
<td>73.62</td>
<td>21.97</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech accuracy</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control predictors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−1.03</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>−.33</td>
<td>−2.74</td>
<td>.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>15.86</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>.62</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key pre-literacy predictors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonological awareness</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid automatized naming</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>.742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter-sound knowledge</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>−.10</td>
<td>−0.80</td>
<td>.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>57.43</td>
<td>39.97</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $R^2 = 0.803$.  

FIGURE 2 Prediction of decoding skills (word attack subtest from the WRMT-III) at the end of second grade among at-risk children utilizing multiple regression analysis. Predicted scores are shown on the x-axis, and actual (measured) scores are shown on the y-axis.
detailed, retrospective analysis of audio-recorded speech samples. Regarding the advantageous role of speech production accuracy in reading acquisition, a relative strength in this domain could facilitate phonological awareness development early on, as preschool-age children with speech delays have shown concurrent improvements in speech accuracy and phonological awareness in intervention that targets both skills (Gillon, 2005). Moreover, phonological awareness has been shown to mediate the relationship between deficits in speech production and subsequent reading outcomes (Overby, Trainin, Smit, Bernthal, & Nelson, 2012). Although better speech production accuracy was observed among at-risk children with higher reading achievement in the present findings, it is important to note that speech accuracy did not significantly contribute to the prediction of subsequent decoding abilities in the multiple regression analysis. Therefore, the present study contributes to the growing body of evidence suggesting that better speech production accuracy among at-risk children is associated with better reading outcomes, but the direct role that speech accuracy may play in shaping subsequent reading abilities remains unclear.

Although sentence comprehension and vocabulary knowledge did not differ at the start of formal reading instruction between at-risk children who subsequently developed typical versus poor reading skills, significant differences in vocabulary did emerge by the end of second grade. The emergence of this difference over the course of learning to read may point toward a consequence of the difficulty with learning to read, as there is a documented gap in reading exposure between those with and without reading deficits (Anderson, Wilson, & Fielding, 1988). Furthermore, children's reading abilities have been shown to determine how much they choose to spend time reading (van Bergen et al., 2018). This gap in the time spent reading can result in a cascading effect known as the Matthew effect in reading, in which typical readers gain an advantage over poor readers by reading and learning from text (Stanovich, 1986). Consequently, children with diminished reading exposure due to the associated difficulties may miss opportunities to acquire new vocabulary once they reach the academic stage of relying on reading to learn new content (Snow & Matthews, 2016), which is expected by the end of second grade (van Bergen et al., 2018; Chall, 1983). In line with this notion, vocabulary growth has been shown to be a more important predictor of subsequent language and reading development than initial vocabulary size at early stages in development (Rowe, 2012; Song et al., 2015). Building on this work, collective findings suggest that gains in vocabulary knowledge may emerge over time in part due to new learning that occurs from increased exposure to text. In turn, these gains may also be utilized to further support reading development, as previous work has suggested that at-risk children with relative strengths in vocabulary knowledge may be able to discern context clues in text to achieve word identification, despite decoding difficulties (Muter & Snowling, 2009). The emerging differences in vocabulary observed over the course of reading acquisition further illuminate the importance of early identification via screening methods, and suggest that incorporating vocabulary targets in intervention may also be necessary in order to close the gap in exposure that can occur during reading development.

4.2 | Socioeconomic status is linked with subsequent reading outcomes among at-risk children

Another undeniably important factor identified in the present study is SES, since significantly higher SES was observed among at-risk children with typical versus poor reading skills and SES was found to significantly predict subsequent decoding outcomes among at-risk children. These findings align with the previously reported crucial impact of socioeconomic factors on language and reading development (Bradley & Corwyn, 2002; Dickinson & Snow, 1987; Molfese et al., 2002; Noble et al., 2006; Pan, Rowe, Spier, & Tamis-LeMonda, 2004; Rowe, Denmark, Harden, & Stapleton, 2016; Sénéchal & LeFevre, 2002). The true nature of this effect is difficult to interpret, as SES is deeply intertwined with several aspects of the home environment and access to educational resources. This may reflect the differences in reading achievement that can arise due to qualitative aspects of the home and school environment, which include parent support, tutoring options, and even access to books, etc., to name a few (Erbeli, Hart, & Taylor, 2018). That said, among the present at-risk groups with typical versus poor subsequent reading outcomes, children were attending schools with a relatively balanced distribution of SES rankings, which suggests that the SES differences identified may reflect the impact of the home more so than school environment. Careful consideration of the nature of this impact of the home environment on reading development calls into question the following: to what extent may this truly reflect environmental influence, or may this in fact be shaped by heritable parental traits of reading ability (as suggested by van Bergen, Bishop, van Zuijen, & de Jong, 2015)? After all, parental reading skills and the number of books in the home have been shown to significantly predict children's reading abilities (van Bergen, van Zuijen, Bishop, & de Jong, 2017). Clearly, future work is necessary to disentangle heritable versus environmental influences of the home and family on subsequent reading outcomes.

Interestingly, the early impact of SES was not pervasive in the present sample since there were no significant differences in vocabulary knowledge at the start of formal reading instruction, a factor that has previously been shown to distinguish children from high versus low socioeconomic backgrounds early on (Fernald et al., 2013; Hart & Risley, 1995). That said, the emergence of differences in language and reading abilities by the end of second grade aligns with the well-established achievement gap characterized by disparities in SES (Becker, 2011; Maguire et al., 2018; Rowe & Goldin-Meadow, 2009). However, this is also a reflection of the differences in achievement that can emerge as a direct consequence of difficulty with reading (Stanovich, 1986). Ultimately, the present findings illuminate the importance of socioeconomic factors among at-risk children in particular, as SES
at the start of formal reading instruction did distinguish those who subsequently developed dyslexia from those who did not. This finding underscores the importance of characterizing SES in determining approaches to early intervention among at-risk children.

4.3 | Right-hemispheric white matter organization at the start of formal reading instruction is linked with subsequent reading outcomes among at-risk children

In addition to cognitive-linguistic and environmental factors identified, the present study also observed the previously proposed alterations in underlying neural pathways in right-hemispheric white matter, specifically in the posterior segment of the SLF. This pathway was first suggested as a potential compensatory neural mechanism, as higher indices of FA in the right SLF (defined utilizing an approach that included the arcuate fasciculus) among middle school-age children with dyslexia were positively linked with subsequent word reading abilities approximately two years later (Hoeft et al., 2011). In line with this, children with dyslexia who received intervention and demonstrated significant improvements in word reading have shown increased activation in right-hemispheric regions (Barquero, Davis, & Cutting, 2014; Eden et al., 2004; Pugh et al., 2001; Temple et al., 2003). These activation changes were specific to children who responded to the treatment and were not observed among those who did not improve after remediation, suggesting that increased right-hemispheric activation may serve as a compensatory neural mechanism to facilitate reading development (Odegard, Ring, Smith, Biggan, & Black, 2008).

The closest related longitudinal study starting at the pre-reading stage observed that temporoparietal segments of the right SLF showed a higher rate of development (i.e., increasing FA) over the course of learning to read among children with familial risk for dyslexia who subsequently became typical readers relative to those who became poor readers (Wang et al., 2016). Building on this evidence, the present study is the first to identify that FA in the posterior (temporoparietal) segment of the right SLF at the start of formal reading instruction significantly differs between at-risk children with typical versus poor subsequent word reading skills, and significantly predicts subsequent decoding abilities among at-risk children. The present study design differs substantially from the work by Wang et al and others, in that the present work is comprised of a community-based sample involving school partners selected based on representative socioeconomic variation, rather than recruiting based on familial risk for dyslexia. Note that while predominantly left-hemispheric alterations have been repeatedly associated with poor reading/dyslexia (e.g., Vandermosten et al., 2012; Vandermosten et al., 2013), the present sample did not reflect group differences in left-hemispheric pathways at the kindergarten age among subsequently typical versus poor readers. By contrast, children with familial risk for dyslexia who subsequently demonstrate typical reading outcomes still demonstrate left-hemispheric functional hypoactivation during phonological processing (Yu et al., 2020), which suggests that these characteristic left-hemispheric alterations may be more closely linked to genetic susceptibility for dyslexia rather than ‘poor’ reading outcomes in general. Taken together, recognizing sampling differences, the convergence of findings in the right SLF from independent samples with differing approaches points toward a putative protective neural factor that may facilitate subsequent reading outcomes for at-risk children.

At-risk children without subsequent dyslexia showed significantly higher FA in this tract relative to typical controls as well. A positive relationship between structural organization of the right SLF and subsequent non-word reading (decoding) abilities at the end of second grade was specific to at-risk children only, as there was no relationship observed among typical controls. These findings are in line with previous work (Hoeft et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2018), and our results demonstrate that it is not only the rate of development in the right SLF over the course of learning to read as proposed by Wang and colleagues, but that higher FA in this tract at the time a child begins formal reading instruction is significantly related to better word reading outcomes later on. Collective evidence suggests there may be a dynamic interaction between structural organization of the right SLF at the start of formal reading instruction and how this tract develops over the course of learning to read that both contribute to subsequent reading development. The specificity of relationships between the right SLF and subsequent decoding abilities among at-risk children only suggests that at-risk children who acquire better word reading abilities may utilize the right-hemispheric correlate of the left-hemispheric ‘indirect route’ for decoding that has been previously characterized in typical reading development (Ripamonti et al., 2014). Furthermore, this significant relationship was independent of SES, which suggests that the trajectory of at-risk children in the present study is not solely explainable by socioeconomic factors.

4.4 | Findings point toward specific protective and/or compensatory factors that facilitate reading development

Although this work illuminates several factors that seem to be linked with subsequently better word reading outcomes among at-risk children, a critical question remains: were the children identified as at-risk who subsequently developed typical word reading skills ever truly at risk? Although major efforts are underway to optimize the accuracy of the early identification of at-risk children at the start of formal reading instruction, current approaches are limited by poor specificity rates, which lead to the over-identification of at-risk children (Catts et al., 2012; Jenkins & O’Connor, 2002; Torgesen, 2002). Therefore, it remains unclear whether these children were the result of false-positive identification and would have learned how to read without difficulty regardless of early identification. Alternatively, it is possible that certain protective or compensatory strategies may ‘over-ride’ current approaches to early screening by promoting reading acquisition
among at-risk children. After all, the present findings identified multiple factors at cognitive-linguistic, neural, and environmental levels that distinguished at-risk children based on their subsequent reading outcomes, which is in line with the hypothesis that certain risk factors increase the liability for dyslexia, whereas putative ‘protective’ factors may decrease the liability (van Bergen et al., 2014; Pennington, 2006). In addition, at-risk children with typical subsequent reading skills showed significantly higher FA in the right SLF than typical controls, which suggests that this group does not likely encompass only false-positive identifications, but points toward a protective or compensatory neural mechanism among at least some at-risk children with better reading outcomes. Moreover, the positive relationships between FA in the right SLF in kindergarten and subsequent decoding abilities among at-risk children only suggest that the higher the FA in this tract, the better at-risk children have done in acquiring decoding abilities over time. Further investigation will be important to investigate the extent to which neurobiological factors may be driving protective/compensatory contributions to reading development, or whether certain environmental factors trigger neurobiological changes reflected in the SLF effects in the present study.

An important ongoing challenge is to determine the specific developmental timeframe in which early screening may be most reliable and effective. Recognizing the rapid development of the behavioral skills that are assessed in early screening at the preschool/Kindergarten age (Speece, 2005), screening conducted at the emergent reading stage based on word reading abilities has shown an accurate prediction of subsequent outcomes (Poulsen, Nielsen, Juul, & Elbro, 2017). Accordingly, multi-stage screening over the course of reading acquisition has been proposed when feasible, which has been shown to minimize the rate of false-positive identifications (Compton et al., 2010; Poulsen et al., 2017). Yet, additional evidence suggests that intervention is more effective when provided earlier rather than later (Catts et al., 2015; Torgesen, 2004; Vellutino et al., 1996; Wanzek & Vaughn, 2007; Wanzek et al., 2013). Moreover, the present findings of a gap in language achievement over the course of reading acquisition is a problem that has been proposed when feasible, which has been shown to minimize the rate of false-positive identifications (Compton et al., 2010; Poulsen et al., 2017). Yet, additional evidence suggests that intervention is more effective when provided earlier rather than later (Catts et al., 2015; Torgeson, 2004; Vellutino et al., 1996; Wanzek & Vaughn, 2007; Wanzek et al., 2013). Moreover, the present findings of a gap in language achievement over the course of learning to read further point toward the importance of early screening in order to prevent this effect and potentially facilitate compensatory strategies. While reducing the prevalence of over-identification in early screening is necessary for optimal allocation of resources, it seems especially important to ensure that children who may benefit from early intervention are provided with this opportunity in order to promote better outcomes.

4.5 Future directions

The present study lays important groundwork for several future directions. Cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural factors evident at the start of formal reading instruction seem to be linked with subsequent word reading outcomes among these at-risk children; however, it remains unclear how early in development these may have arisen. The field has largely considered these ‘protective’ factors, yet we do not know whether factors are evident from infancy that truly ‘protect’ at-risk children throughout subsequent development, or whether certain compensatory strategies/experiences that arise throughout early childhood shape the developmental trajectory of reading acquisition (Yu et al., 2018). While our findings identified several factors that contribute from the start of reading instruction, others such as vocabulary knowledge appear to emerge over the course of learning to read as compensatory strategies to support reading development. More longitudinal studies are needed to determine the onset of these putative factors along the neurodevelopmental trajectory from infancy to school age. For instance, direct comparison of infants with and without familial risk for dyslexia in this context will be necessary to determine the potential etiologic basis of the right-hemispheric pathway identified.

In addition, there are several potential contributing factors that were not addressed in the present analysis. It is possible that certain aspects of the home environment may have played an important role (particularly pertaining to literacy exposure and enrichment), or access to tutoring and early intervention/targeted instruction. No differences in the amount of tutoring or early intervention received by at-risk children in the present study were observed, nor the SES of the schools they attended; however, qualitative aspects have yet to be investigated. In addition, given the age of our participants and limited time to maximize their engagement in assessment, we were unable to screen for all of the previously proposed precursors of subsequent reading difficulties. Future studies will be necessary to investigate additional factors such as morphological awareness and executive functioning skills in this context, as a growing body of evidence suggests these factors in particular may provide protective and/or compensatory contributions (Haft & Hoeft, 2016; Snowling & Melby-Lervag, 2016), as well as other measures related to perception. It is also important to recognize that the present study has focused on factors that shape reading outcomes among children in the United States, whereas cultural differences may impact the respective contributions of certain factors, particularly on the environmental level. While these factors warrant further investigation in future work, the focus of the present study makes an important contribution by identifying that multidimensional factors, present when at-risk children start receiving reading instruction, are linked with their subsequent word reading outcomes.

4.6 Clinical implications and conclusions

Overall, this work carries several important implications for approaches to early identification and intervention to promote reading acquisition among at-risk children from the start of formal reading instruction. The present findings point toward several specific strategies that may be employed to best serve at-risk children identified based on current methods of early screening, such that if a child is identified as at-risk based on the key predictors of dyslexia (phonological awareness, rapid naming, letter identification, oral language skills), then next steps would involve the following: (a) refer this child to evaluation by a speech-language pathologist to consider relative...
strengths or weaknesses in the areas of speech and language, (b) assess nonverbal cognitive abilities to determine whether this may be a relative strength or weakness, and (c) acquire information about the home environment in order to identify whether this will likely be a source of support, or to consider approaches to supporting the home environment through educational and clinical services provided to parents. From there, continued progress monitoring and implementation of multi-stage screening are recommended whenever feasible, to minimize inaccurate allocation of services to those who may not need it (Compton et al., 2010; Poulser et al., 2017). Ultimately, this approach offers great promise to promote optimal outcomes when children are then provided with early intervention that targets each child’s specific risk profile and builds upon areas of strength as potential protective factors or compensatory strategies.

Overall, the present findings support the notion that a multi-step, evidence-based response to screening involving several important stakeholders may be particularly effective. In addition to the well-established goal to promote decoding skills, there is potential to reach another level of support through careful consideration of what may be most effective for each child based on a holistic profile of their relative strengths and weaknesses. Ultimately, this study provides a better understanding of factors on cognitive-linguistic, environmental, and neural levels that may promote better word reading outcomes for at-risk children from the start of formal reading instruction.
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